

A RAPID REVIEW OF THEOREMS BASED ON INNER PRODUCT SPACES & MODIFIED THEOREM BASED ON INNER PRODUCT SPACES

Ms. Ravinder Kaur

¹Teaching Assistant, ¹Department of Mathematics, Desh Bhagat University, Mandi Gobindgarh, Punjab

Abstract

In Mathematics, inner product spaces generalize Euclidean vector spaces, in which the inner product is the dot product or scalar product of Cartesian coordinates. Inner product spaces of infinite dimension are widely used in functional analysis. Inner product spaces over the field of complex numbers are sometimes referred to as unitary spaces. An inner product is a generalization of the dot product. In a vector space, it is a way to multiply vectors together, with the result of this multiplication being a scalar. In this paper work we will discuss about the inner product, its basic concepts necessary for theorem's proof and various theorems based on inner product spaces on real fields. Further, we modified the theorem of inner product spaces based on the symmetric and positive definite matrix associated fields real.

Keywords: [inner product spaces, matrices, norm, vector space]

1. INTRODUCTION

In Mathematics, an inner product spaces or, rarely a Hausdorff pre-Hilbert spaces is a real vector space or a complex vector space with an operation called an inner product. The inner product of two vectors in the space is a scalar, often denoted with angle brackets such as $\langle a, b \rangle$. Inner product allows formal definition of intuitive Geometric notation, such as length, angle and orthogonality (zero inner product) of vectors [1,2]. Inner Product Spaces generalize Euclidean vector spaces, in which the inner product is the dot product or scalar product of cartesian coordinates. Inner Product Spaces of infinite dimensions are widely used in functional analysis. Inner Product Space over the field of complex numbers are sometimes referred to as unitary spaces. The first usage of the concept of a vector spaces with an inner product is due to Giuseppe Peano in 1889 [3]. An inner product naturally induces an associated norm, (denoted $|x|$ and $|y|$) so, every inner product is a norm vector space. If this normed space is also complete (that is, a Banach space) then the inner product spaces is a Hilbert space. If an inner product space H is not a Hilbert space, it can be extended by completions to a Hilbert space H' . This means that H is a linear subspace of H' , the inner product of H is the restriction of that of H' , and H is dense in H' for the topology defined by the norm [1,4].

Inner Product Spaces

The inner product or dot product of \mathbb{R}^n is a function \langle, \rangle defined as:

$$\langle u, v \rangle = a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 + a_3b_3 + \dots + a_nb_n$$

Where $u = [a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $v = [b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The inner product \langle, \rangle satisfies the following properties:

- Linearity property: $\langle au + bv, w \rangle = a \langle u, w \rangle + b \langle v, w \rangle$
- Symmetric property: $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle$
- Positive definite property: $u \in V, \langle u, u \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$ if and only if $u = 0$.

Then (\langle, \rangle, V) is called inner product spaces on \mathbb{R}^n . [5]

Representation of Inner Product

Let V be an n -dimensional vector space with an inner product \langle, \rangle , and let A be the matrix of \langle, \rangle relative to a basis B . Then for any vectors $u, v \in V, \langle u, v \rangle = x^T A y$, where x and y are the coordinate vectors of u and v , respectively, i.e., $x = [u]_B$ and $y = [v]_B$. [5,6]

Example 1. For the inner product of \mathbb{R}^3 defined by $\langle x, y \rangle = 2x_1y_1 - x_1y_2 - x_2y_1 + 5x_2y_2$,

where x , its matrix relative to the standard basis $E = e_1, e_2$ is $A = \begin{bmatrix} \langle e_1, e_1 \rangle & \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \\ \langle e_2, e_1 \rangle & \langle e_2, e_2 \rangle \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$

The inner product can be written as

$$\langle x, y \rangle = x^T A y = [x_1, x_2] \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

2. THEOREM BASED ON INNER PRODUCT SPACES

Theorem 2.1: Let A be a real positive definite matrix. Then the function $\langle x, y \rangle = x^T A y$ is an inner product on \mathbb{R}^n . [8]

Proof:

Suppose A is positive definite matrix

Then we have to show that $\langle x, y \rangle = x^T A y$ is an inner product on \mathbb{R}^n

First of all, note that we can write $\langle x, y \rangle = x^T A y = x \cdot (A y)$

Where the "dot" is the dot product of \mathbb{R}^n

Thus, $\langle x, y \rangle$ is a real number.

We verify three properties of an inner product

Also, since we know that 'the dot product is commutative'.

We have:- $\langle x, y \rangle = x \cdot (A y)$

Or $\langle x, y \rangle = (A y) \cdot x = (A y)^T x$ [since, dot product is commutative and real number]

Or $\langle x, y \rangle = y^T A^T x = y^T A x$ [by properties of transpose, A is symmetric]

Or $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$ [by definition of theorem]

Where, $x, y \in V(\text{vectorspace})$.

Thus the function $\langle x, y \rangle$ is symmetric.

And, for all $x, y, z \in V$ then $\langle x + y, z \rangle = (x + y)^T A z$

Or $\langle x + y, z \rangle = (x^T + y^T) A z$ [using definition of theorem]

Or $\langle x + y, z \rangle = x^T A z + y^T A z$

Or $\langle x + y, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle$ [by definition of theorem]

Thus, the $\langle x, y \rangle$ is linear

Also, if x is non-zero vector in \mathbb{R}^n then we have: $\langle x, x \rangle = x^T A x > 0$

Since, A is positive definite matrix then A can never zero

Also we have : $\langle 0, 0 \rangle = 0^T A 0 = 0$

Thus, $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$ iff $u = 0$

Then we get $\langle x, y \rangle$ is positive definite matrix

Hence, the function $\langle x, y \rangle = x^T A y$ is an inner product on \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof of the Theorem 2.1 by an example [9]

Suppose $A = I_n \times n$ be $n \times n$ order identity matrix, all diagonal entries are positive and the determinant is positive so A is positive definite matrix where,

$u = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)^T$ column spaces belongs to \mathbb{R}^n

And $v = (y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n)^T$ column spaces belong to \mathbb{R}^n

And $w = (w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots, w_n)$ column spaces belongs to \mathbb{R}^n

Linear property: $\langle u + w, v \rangle = (u + w) A v$ [By definition of theorem]

Or $\langle u + w, v \rangle = [(x_1 + w_1, x_2 + w_2, x_3 + w_3, \dots, x_n + w_n)^T]^T I_n \times n (y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n)^T$

Or $\langle u + w, v \rangle = (x_1 + w_1, x_2 + w_2, x_3 + w_3, \dots, x_n + w_n) \times n (y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n)^T$

Or $\langle u + w, v \rangle = (x_1 + w_1, x_2 + w_2, x_3 + w_3, \dots, x_n + w_n) (y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n)^T$

Or $\langle u + w, v \rangle = x_1y_1 + w_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + w_2y_2 + x_3y_3 + w_3y_3 + \dots + x_ny_n + w_ny_n$
 Or $\langle u + w, v \rangle = (x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3 + \dots + x_ny_n) + (w_1y_1 + w_2y_2 + w_3y_3 + \dots + w_ny_n)$
 Or, $\langle u + w, v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle + \langle w, v \rangle$

Symmetric Property: $\langle u, v \rangle = u^T A v$ [by theorem]

Or $\langle u, v \rangle = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)^T\}^T \{n \times n(y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n)^T\}$
 Or $\langle u, v \rangle = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) n \times (y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n)^T$ [by pro. of transpose]
 Or $\langle u, v \rangle = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)(y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n)^T$
 Or $\langle u, v \rangle = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3 + \dots + x_ny_n$ ----- (a)

Also $\langle v, u \rangle = v^T A u$ [by def. of theorem]

Or $\langle v, u \rangle = \{(y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n)\}^T \{n \times n(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)^T\}$
 Or $\langle v, u \rangle = (y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n) n \times (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)^T$
 Or $\langle v, u \rangle = (y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n)(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)$
 Or $\langle v, u \rangle = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3 + \dots + x_ny_n$ ----- (b)

Now, from equation (a) and (b) we get:

$\langle u, v \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle$

Positive Definite Property: $\langle u, u \rangle = u^T A u \geq 0$

If $\langle 0, 0 \rangle = 0^T I n \times n 0$

Or $\langle 0, 0 \rangle = 0$

Thus, we say that $\langle u, u \rangle = 0 \in u = 0$

3. MODIFIED THEOREM BASED ON INNER PRODUCT SPACES

Theorem 3.1 Let a (real) symmetric matrix A be positive definite then the function $\langle u, v \rangle = u^T (A A^T) v$ is an inner product on R^n .

Proof: Suppose $A_1 = A A^T$

Taking transpose in both sides, $A_1^T = (A A^T)^T$

Or $A^T = A^T A$ [since A is symmetric then $A A^T = A^T A$]

Or $A_1^T = A A^T$

Or A_1 is symmetric matrix ----- (i)

Also, since A is positive definite, then A^T is positive definite

Also, product of two positive definite matrices is always positive definite matrix. Thus,

$A_1 = A A^T$ is also positive definite matrix ----- (ii)

From equation (i) and (ii) we get:

A_1 is symmetric and positive definite ----- (ii)

Now, we have to show that $\langle u, v \rangle = u^T A_1 v$ is an inner product on R^n

Linear property: Let u, v and w belongs to V then

$\langle u + w, v \rangle = (u + w)^T A_1 v$ [by def. Of theorem and using (iii)]

Or $\langle u + w, v \rangle = (u^T + w^T) A_1 v$ [using pro. Of transpose]

Or $\langle u + w, v \rangle = u^T A_1 v + w^T A_1 v$

Or $\langle u + w, v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle + \langle w, v \rangle$

Symmetric property: Since $\langle u, v \rangle = u^T A_1 v$ [matrix representation]

Or $\langle u, v \rangle^T = (u^T A_1 v)^T$ [taking transpose in both sides]

Or $\langle u, v \rangle = v^T A_1^T (u^T)^T$ [by pro. of transpose]

Or $\langle u, v \rangle = v^T A_1 u$ [using (i), since $(u^T)^T = u$]

Or $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle$ [by def. of theorem]

Positive definite property: Since $\langle u, u \rangle = u^T A_1 u \geq 0$

If $\langle 0, 0 \rangle = 0^T A_1 0$ [by def. of property]

Or $\langle 0, 0 \rangle = 0$ [since, A_1 is positive definite then A_1 is not equal to zero]

Or $\langle u, u \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow u = 0$

Proof of the Theorem(3.1) by an example

Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ [Symmetric and positive definite matrix]

Or $A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ [Symmetric and positive definite matrix]

Or $A + A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$

Or $A + A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ [Symmetric and positive definite matrix]

Also, suppose $A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ ----- (a)

Now, we have to show that $\langle u, v \rangle = u^T A_2 v$ is also an inner product on R^2

For this, we take

$u = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ in R^2 , $v = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ in R^2 and $w = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ in R^2 , where R^2 is 2-dimensional Euclidean Space

Linear property: $\langle u + w, v \rangle = (u + w)^T A_2 v$ [by def. Of theorem and using (a)]

Or $\langle u + w, v \rangle = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)^T \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1-1 \\ 0+1 \end{bmatrix} \right)^T \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

Or $\langle u + w, v \rangle = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)^T \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = [0 \ 1] \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

Or $\langle u + w, v \rangle = [0 \ 6] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = 0.0 + 6.2$

Or $\langle u + w, v \rangle = 12$ ----- (b)

Now $\langle u, v \rangle = u^T A_2 v$ [by def. of theorem]

Or $\langle u, v \rangle = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)^T \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = [1 \ 0] \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

Or $\langle u, v \rangle = [4 \ 0] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = 4.0 + 0.2$

Or $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ ----- (c)

Now $\langle w, v \rangle = w^T A_2 v$ [by def. of theorem]

Or $\langle w, v \rangle = \left(\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)^T \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = [-1 \ 1] \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

Or $\langle w, v \rangle = [-4 \ 6] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = -4.0 + 6.2$

Or $\langle w, v \rangle = 12$ ----- (d)

From equation (c) and (d) we get

$\langle u, v \rangle + \langle w, v \rangle = 12$ ----- (e)

Hence, from equation (b) and (e) we get: $\langle u + w, v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle + \langle w, v \rangle$

Symmetric property: Since $\langle u, v \rangle = u^T A_2 v$ [by def. of theorem]

Or $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ [using equation (c)]

Also, $\langle v, u \rangle = v^T A_2 u$ [by def. of theorem]

Or $\langle v, u \rangle = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right)^T \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = [0 \ 2] \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Or $\langle v, u \rangle = [0 \ 12] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0.1 + 12.0$

Or $\langle v, u \rangle = 0$ ----- (f)

From equation (c) and (f) we get, $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle$

Positive definite property: Since $\langle u, u \rangle = u^T A_2 u \geq 0$

Case (i) if u is not equal to zero i.e. $u \neq 0$

$$\langle u, u \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = [1 \quad 0] \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Or

$$\langle u, u \rangle = [4 \quad 0] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 4.1 + 0.0$$

Or

$$\langle u, u \rangle = 4 > 0$$

Case (ii) if u is equal to zero i.e. $u = 0$

$$\langle u, u \rangle = \langle 0, 0 \rangle = 0^T A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Or

$$\langle u, u \rangle = [0 \quad 0] \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = [0 \quad 0] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Or

$$\langle u, u \rangle = 0.0 + 0.0 = 0$$

Thus, we get

$$\langle u, u \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow u = 0$$

4. RESULT& DISCUSSION

An inner product space $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a vector space V over F together with an inner product: a function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow F$ satisfying the following properties $\forall x, y, z \in V, \lambda \in F$,

Linear: $\langle \lambda x + y, z \rangle = \lambda \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle$

Symmetric: $\langle y, x \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$

Positive-definite: $x \neq 0 \implies \langle x, x \rangle > 0$

As we know that a square matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ of $n \times n$ order $n \geq 1$ is called symmetric matrices if

$a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ for all $A = A^T$.

Also, since we know that A be a symmetric matrix i.e. $A = A^T$ then A is said to be positive definite matrices if for every non zero vector u in R^n such as $\langle u, Au \rangle = u^T Au$

When we replace A by $A + A^T$ then positive definite matrices becomes $\langle u, (A + A^T)u \rangle = u^T (A + A^T)u > 0$

Also, we replace A by AA^T then positive definite matrices becomes $\langle u, AA^T u \rangle = u^T (AA^T)u > 0$

At first, we take symmetric matrices then it helps us to make positive definite matrices because a positive definite matrix must be symmetric.

Since, if A be positive definite matrices then the function $\langle u, v \rangle = u^T Av$ is an inner product on R^n . (acc. to the given theorem)

Now, if replace A by $(A + A^T)$ then it is also positive definite matrices and the function $\langle u, v \rangle = u^T (A + A^T)v$ is also inner product on R^n .

Also, we replace A by AA^T then it is also positive definite matrices and the function becomes $\langle u, v \rangle = u^T AA^T v$ is an inner product on R^n .

Because it is easily satisfying the following three properties of an inner product spaces such that:-

Linear property:- $\langle au + bw, v \rangle = a \langle u, v \rangle + b \langle w, v \rangle$

Symmetric property:- $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle$

Positive definite property:- $\langle u, u \rangle \geq 0$ if $\langle u, u \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow u = 0$ for all u in R^n .

So, by considering the above explanation, we conclude that modified theorems is also develop unique concept in the field of inner product on R^n .

5. CONCLUSION

A matrix representation of n – dimensional vector spaces or columns spaces of R^n then how to show that it is an inner product on R^n and also it's modified form is an inner product on R^n .

There is theorem based on the inner product space that is,

Theorem (2.1) Statement: Let A be a real positive definite matrix. Then the function $\langle x, y \rangle = x^T A y$ is an inner product on \mathbb{R}^n .

Which can be modified based on real field for symmetric matrix & Skew symmetric matrix of real field \mathbb{R}^n :

Theorem (3.1) Let a symmetric matrix A be positive definite then the function $\langle u, v \rangle = u^T (A A^T) v$ is an inner product on \mathbb{R}^n .

Thus, the function $\langle u, v \rangle = u^T A v$ is matrix representation then we converted from A to $A + A^T$ in the function, so modified function becomes $\langle u, v \rangle = u^T (A + A^T) v$ where, A^T is transpose of A .

The proof for the modified theorem can be verified and these can be helpful in further development of inner product space.

REFERENCES

- [1] Treves, Francois (2006), Topological vector space, Distribution and Kernel Courier Corporation, ISBN-0986953529, 9780486453521, 565.
- [2] Schaefer, Helmut H (1999), Topological vector spaces vol.(8)(second edition) Imprint Spring New, ISBN-0387987266, 9780387987262, 346.
- [3] Gregory H. Moore (1995), The axiomatization of linear algebra, Historia Mathematica, ISSN- 0315-0860, 262-303
- [4] Rudin (1991), Walter Functional Analysis vol.8 (second edition), Mcgrawhill, ISBN 0-07- 054236-8, 44.
- [5] Roman S (2007) , Advanced Linear Algebra , Springer , ISBN- 13:978-0- 387- 72828- 5,545
- [6] Axler S (2010), Linear Algebra Done Right Third Edition , Springer, ISBN- 0-387- 98358-2, 261
- [7] Saltenberger P (2020), Structure-preserving diagonalization of matrices in indefinite inner product spaces , Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra, ISSN- 1081- 3810, 21-37
- [8] Seymour lipschutz and Marc larlipson (2005), Linear Algebra, Mcgraw Hill Education, ISBN- 13:978- 0- 07- 060502- 2 ,ISBN – 10:0- 07- 060502-5, 424
- [9] P.JORDAN AND J.VON NEUMANN (1935), Inner Products in linear metric spaces, Annals Of Mathematics, , 719- 723.